

zairibel@hotmail.com :

:

.

"

"

.

)

(...

.

.

:

:

Abstract :

The Role and Contribution of International Trade to the Process of Industrialization and Growth has long been a Topic of Interest to Economists, and a Large Number of Studies Examined this Relationship Empirically.

Most of these Investigations Confirmed that International Trade Provides an Important Stimulus to Growth.

As a result , Attention Shifted to the Identification of Factors that Constrained a Contry's Capacity to Fully Engage in International Trade . Economists often argue that the Level and Structure of a Country's Trade Barriers and the Quality of its Governance Policies have a Major Influence on its Economic Growth and Performance.

I intend to test the Influence of Non-tariff and Istitutional Factors and Governance Policies on Economic Performance using a Data from a Survey .

Our Results show that Countries that adopted less Restrictives Governance and Trade Policies were more Successful Integrating with the World Economy and the Need in Algeria to Diversity Trade .

Keywords : Good Governance, Trade Policy, Non-tariff and Institutional Barriers, Growth, Trade Liberalization, Algerian Economy

∴ _____

(1970) Kravis

(2

(1 :

)

(...

(1 :

(2 .

(3 .

(4

.(1)

()

_____ (1)

Sachs and Warner (1995) (1996)
Barro and Sala-i-Martin (1991)(1992)

.

.

:

.

.

:

*

:

-

-

-

.(

)

.

.

.

*

.

(

)

.

.

1987

:

-1

: 1973- 1963 -1-1

(ONACO) 1963

. (...)

1964 .

) : 5 (Groupement professionnels d'achat) GPA

(

.

.

1963 – 10 - 13 144 - 62

.

:

1963 *

10 3 0) 5

:

(50 20

10 -

20 - 5 -

15 - 18 -

1963

.

:

.

-

-

-

- 02 - 02 35 - 68 : 1968 *

:

1968

-

-

.

1931

1963 - 05 - 16 188 - 63

: (1990 - 1973) -2-2

(1973– 1970)

(GPA)

SONACOME

80

SNMC

.(...

)

-

.(.

)

-

-

: (AGI)

*

(PGI)

1974

12

12 - 74

(

)

：
・

20 - 78

： *

(PGE)

・

・

1980

37- 91

・

*

1986

・

：

1988-1986 -

)

02-78

.(

02/78

-

)

1988

19

29/88

.(

・

1988 - 09 - 06

167 - 88

・

(

) 1990

・

：
：

-2-3

1986

・

・

50

. ()

.

1990

41

- 13 73 - 91

1991 - 02

" "

1991

03/91

:

.

-

-

-

-

1992 8 :

625

:

_____*

-

-

: _____ *

1994

625

“ ” :

.()

: _____ **-2**

(4) (SPS)

(TBT)

$$\cdot (\quad)$$

(5)

$$\cdot (5 \quad) \quad (1 \quad)$$
$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{SPS} \\ \text{TBT} \end{array} \right) \quad - \quad (4)$$
$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ 1998 - t \end{array} \right) \quad (I) \quad - \quad (5) \\ & = I \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} 10 > t > 15 \end{array} \right) \quad 2 = I \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 > t > 10 \end{array} \right) 10 \end{aligned}$$

4

.(1) (6)

.(1998)

.(2) 2

1992

La nomenclature du système harmonisé de désignation et "

" de codification des marchandises

()

. (7)(Dispersion tarifaires)

1997 % 45 1996 % 50 % 60 % 120

.(3) (% 30) 1998 % 40

(**Taux moyens de protection**)

) % 18 (**Taux moyen pondérée**) % 24.3

% 14 % 10 % 13 % 12

% 21 % 23

).% 71 % 43 % 34

(4

:

5= I (20 > t > 25) 4 = I (15 > t > 20) 3

. (t > 25) (6)

12.4

19.5

12.6

() (Frazer) — (7)

. 16.7 19.6 1999 - 1995

40 % 25 % 15 % 7 % 3 : : _____ *

% 50 % 60 1996 % 60 %

.

. 5

1280 % 50 1461

. (887 + 413)

:

()

% 37.5)

. 16 %

.(

1000 4 :

*

()

:

.

. 1000

. % 2 :

*

:

.

-

-

.

) -

.

-

).

.(

... :

_____ - 3

1998 1996

(10.28 2000) 1995-1994

2000 % 205 1998 % 108.64
 .. 1997 % 54 ()
 2001 (6)
 % 59.40
 % 62.55 (15) 2001
 % 24.08 .
 (18)
 .
 . % 2.14
) % 14.1 % 15.6 21.63%
 . (7

(*Intensité des échanges*)

$T_{ij} = (X_{ij} / X_i) / (M_j / M_w)$:
 X_i : X_{ij} : M_j : M_w
 $1 < T_{ij}$:
 ()
 . j
 : (8)
 -
 .
 -
) ()
 (8)
 : (9)
 "

2001 % 35.73
 24.6
 . %
 -

Modele gravitaire (8)
 :

G.Gautier & D.Unal-Kezenci,2000.Regionalisation and Trade Opening:a Focus on the Mediterranean Countries",ERF,Seventh Annual Conference,Amman, 26-29 octobre

: (9)

97.19

	. 2001	%	-
% 2.81			-
	564		
:			-
. % 2.06	413 :		*
. % 0.21	42 :		*
. % 0.19	39 :		*
. % 0.17	34 :		*

Indice de Diversification

)

Walter Isard

:(9)

(

r -

. n -

. i -

: . E -

$$Cs = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i | E_{ir}/E_r - E_{in}/E_n |$$

$$Cs = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i | X_{ir}/X_r - X_{im}/X_m |$$

:

. i r : X_{ir}

. r : X_r

. i : X_{im}

. : X_m

0 . 1 0 (Cs)

) 1 .

(

10

% 60

: (9)

Isard, Walter, 1972. méthodes d'analyse régionale », Tome 1 et « équilibre économique », Dunod, p144.

.()

NTB)

100 (

: -1

:

% 30	% 50	% 20	
% 30	% 40	% 30	
% 30	% 50	% 20	
% 20	% 20	% 60	
% 30	% 40	% 30	
% 20	% 60	% 20	
% 30	% 20	% 50	
% 40	% 30	% 40	
% 40	% 20	% 40	

(10)()

:

% 40	% 30	% 30	
% 30	% 40	% 30	

1997

(10)

)

24

3

(Notification

% 20	% 40	% 40	
% 80	% 10	% 10	
% 30	% 40	% 30	
% 20	% 40	% 40	
% 60	% 20	% 20	
% 60	% 20	% 20	
% 50	% 30	% 20	

" " " " 60 -2
 20
)
 : (
 .
 : -3
 . -
 . -
 . -
) -
 .(... -
 :
 . *
 . *
 .() *
 *
 .(-) *
 . *
 . *
 20 -4
 10 40
 .

(11)

(3 1992) (11)

the Manner in Which Power is Exercised the Management)
 . (of a Country Economic and Social Resources for Development

1997

Banque mondiale,1992,Governance and Development,Washington.
 Banque mondiale (1997),Rapport sur le développement dans le monde,1997.p30.

: 1

***	**	*	(1998)			
4	(1998)16.7	13.0	24.0	45.0	0.0	
5	(1993)28.9	15.5	26.8	40.0	5.0	
3		5.4	16.6	35.0	0.0	
5	(1997)13.1	15.6	25.6	35.0	0.0	
5	(1998)12.8	8.4	27.7	43.0	0.0	

Trains

: _____

.(1998)

. (1993 - 1990)

.() 5 () 1

* : 2 _____

7	
8	
7	
8	
8	
4.6	
4.7	

.(1998)

:

.() 10) 1 *

(1999 - 1980)

: 3

99-97	96-93	90-88	85-83	82-80	
24.2	24.8	23.8	21.7	44.4	
8.4	-	10.4	17.1	-	
20.5	28.1	33.5	-	47.4	
-	16.0	12.2	14.2	13.8	
22.1	25.7	24.0	27.0	54.0	
-	21.0	11.0	14.8	14.8	
29.9	30.0	27.4	27.2	26.4	
8.2	26.7	22.7	24.7	-	
15.9	18.2	16.6	18.0	23.8	

Femise,2001,rapport du Femise sur l'évolution de la structure des : _____
échanges commerciaux et des investissements entre l'UE et ses partenaires
Méditerranéens ,p4.

: 4

(_____)

24.9	21.8	24.2	1998	
20.2	22.7	20.5	1998	
21.3	28.9	22.1	1997	
29.6	31.0	29.9	1998	
5.4	47.9	12.7	1998	
15.0	25.6	15.9	99-97	*
24.0	26.1	20.4	99-97	-
12.4	17.0	13.1	99-93	
7.8	15.7	9.6	99-96	
9.5	13.8	10.1	99-96	

*

: _____


Femise :Op Cit,p 4.

: 5

		50	40	25	15	7	3	0	(%)
36.22	702	413	32	71	80	54	48	4	
15.33	2578	126	222	336	613	756	485	40	
16.77	1247	55	101	221	387	356	99	28	
38.83	1684	867	390	139	155	81	26	26	
24.35	6211	1461	745	767	1235	1247	658	98	

Source: Mutations, N°19, Mars 1997

: 1



Source: (1970-1997) World Development Indicators CD -ROM, World Bank 1998,(1998),CNIS .Douanes Algériennes

2001 : : 6
()

2000			2000			
-9.11	62.55	12536	10.31	59.40	5798	
-5.67	27.42	5495	0.73	22.64	2210	
-55.80	0.40	80	0.17	6.19	604	
-19.86	6.69	1340	73.24	2.52	246	
37.14	1.44	288	-4.67	5.85	571	
-	0.00	0	39.06	0.91	89	
25.45	0.34	69	10.75	1.75	171	
-10.63	1.13	227	21.15	0.65	63	
-88.10	0.02	5	-93.28	0.08	8	
-9.04	100.00	20040	6.40	100.00	9760	

.2001 : _____

(2001) : 7

%	2001		%	2001	
21.63	4335		24.08	2350	
15.60	3126		10.40	1015	
14.16	2838		10.42	1017	
10.46	2097		7.84	765	
8.03	1610		5.48	535	
6.76	1354		3.98	388	
6.54	1310		3.53	345	
3.80	761		2.61	255	
3.19	640		2.57	251	
3.14	630		2.49	243	
1.72	345		2.14	209	
1.41	282		2.08	203	
100.00	20040		100.00	9760	

2001, : _____

: 8

0.53	2.45	1.62	0.45	3.34	1.68	1996
0.53	2.23	1.66	0.49	2.94	1.66	1997
0.52	2.30	1.61	0.45	3.83	1.64	1998
0.63	2.70	1.43	0.50	3.43	1.62	1999

: _____

(Trade Analysis System on Personal Computer)

(2001-1998)

: 9

2001	2000	1999	1998	
2401	2415	2307	2657	
103	129	154	110	
472	428	469	558	
1853	1655	1547	1732	
156	85	72	45	
3331	3068	3219	2907	
483	461	1962	1811	
10242	9635	9730	9820	

. 2001 , : _____

(2001-1998)

: 9

2001	2000	1999	1998	
34	32	26	29	
18246	21106	12041	9664	
39	44	44	50	
413	465	345	268	
22	11	27	1	
42	47	42	22	
14	14	18	19	
18811	21718	12542	10054	

. 2001, : _____

:

: 10

% 2000-95	2000	1995	
1.9	0.878	0.895	
2.4	0.742	0.760	
6.1	0.714	0.758	
15.8	0.698	0.808	
-2.1	0.704	0.689	
-2.7	0.792	0.771	
3.4	0.745	0.770	
0.8	0.855	0.862	
-1.3	0.716	0.706	
8.8	0.588	0.640	
-2.7	0.366	0.356	
6.2	0.716	0.760	
9.9	0.440	0.483	*

*

: _____

(STCI)

: _____

1. -Banque mondiale (1997),Rapport sur le développement dans le monde
2. -Barro,Robert and Xavier Sali-i-Martin (1991),Convergence Across States and Regions, Brookings Papers on Economics Activity,No 1.
- Barro,Robert and Xavier Sali-i-Martin (1992) ,Convergence,Journal of Political Economy, Vol 100, No 2.
3. -Banque mondiale,1992,Governance and Development,Washington.
4. -Dani Rodrik ,1997, « TEPG Controversies,institutions,and economic performance in east asia »,NBER Working paper N° 5914 (Cambridge,Massachusetts :National Bureau of economic research).
5. -----,1999, « Institutions for high-quality growth :what they are and how to acquire them »,paper presented at the international monetary fund conference on second generation reforms,Washington,November 8-9.
6. -Femise,2001,rapport du Femise sur l'évolution de la structure des échanges commerciaux et des investissements entre l'UE et ses partenaires Méditerranéens .
7. -Kravis,Irving (1970). Trade as a Handmaiden of Growth :Similarities Between the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries, the Economic Journal,December.
8. -Sharer,Robert,PIRITTA Sorsa,Nur Calika,Paul ROSS ?Clinton Shiells,and Thomas dorsey (1998). « Trade Liberalization in IMF –Supported Programs ». Washington DC : International Monetary Fund.
9. -Sachs,Jeffrey and Andrew Warner (1995),Economic Reform and the Process of Global Integration,Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, No 1.
- Sachs,Jeffrey and Andrew Warner (1996),Sources of Slow Growth in African Economies,(Cambridge :Harvard Institute of International Development).
10. UNCTAD (1998). « Trade Analysis and Information System(TRAINS) »,New york.